

REMARKS

Objection to Specification

Figs. 1 and 2 were objected to as not containing the label "Prior Art." Applicants herein submit corrected Figs. 1 and 2.

Figs. 1 and 3 were objected to as reference numerals 136 and 338 were not mentioned in the text. Applicants have added inadvertently omitted reference numerals 136 and 338 to paragraphs 17 and 21, respectively.

Fig. 3 was objected to as not showing reference numeral 312. Applicants traverse the objection and note that delay line element 312 is clearly labeled 312 and is between delay line elements 310 and 314.

The Abstract was objected to as containing greater than 150 words. Applicants have rewritten the Abstract.

Applicants accordingly respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw all of the objections in the next Office Action. No new matter has been added as a result of this amendment.

Conclusion

In view of the amendments above, Applicants respectfully seek an allowance thereof. If for any reason the Examiner is unable to allow the application in the next Office Action and believes that a telephone interview would be helpful to resolve any remaining issues, he is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,



Anthony P. Curtis, Ph.D.
Registration No. 46,193
Agent for Applicants

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE
P.O. BOX 10395
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60610
(312) 321-4200